G.R.S. IX—5]

*Previous references.*
May 19-94-
102-17-899

( 1929. )

**File No.** 660-19
**Serial Nos.** 1—16

*Later references.*

# GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

*Serial Nos.* 16

**Part B for** January 1924

SUBJECT

Restoration of Nagaselvamittha to Sri Chandra Mouleeswara Swamy temple at Murugamalla Village, Chittamanai Taluq on payment of Rs 826-15-4 being arrears of Quitrent due to Govt at the close of the year 1898-99.

No. 735 dated 24.11.23 To the Govt: Comr

The Village cannot be restored as

Swami -

G. R. S. III—1] 1919.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

( Inwards)

NOTES.

File No. 660 / 1919

FILE HEADING. Restoration of the Inam village of Nagarlmitte to Sri Chandramouleswara Swami temple at Nunjanmale village, Chintamani taluq.

From The Dy. Commr., Kolar Dt.

No. Lg 21 Dated 28.4.920 Received

| Diary No. 2220 | Enclos. 124 Spare Copies. — | Serial No. 1 |
|---|---|---|

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Recommends the restoration of the said inam village to the temple on payment by the villagers of a sum of ~~Rs~~ 826-15-4 being arrears of quit rent due by them at the close of the year 1898-99.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

In ocn 1917 [illegible] and other [illegible] of the [illegible] Chintamani taluq [illegible] for the restoration of [illegible] Nagarlmitte [illegible]

NOTES—First Sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Hms File No. 660 of 19 17

Serial No.

Sri chandramaulesvara [illegible]
Temple in the Village.
Under Cover of the Officer
Items no. 1152 of 19.11.17, the
petition was forwarded to this
by Commr. for Rel. & Chy.
2 The Ag. Commr has sent
up for order of Govt a report
recommending the resumption
of the Village as Inam to the
Temple in question subject to
the payment of a peishcush of Rs
26-15 being the accumulation
of arrears of quit rent.
4 Hms. D. no. 18 of 1896-5
on the subject is submitted.
5 On a/c no. 90 of 1896-7
it was directed that the Inam
Village of Nagarbavi be restored
to the institution under the
management of the Ag
Commr in the Rel & Chy Dept.
be resumed & that after the
enhanced cash assessment
of Rs 26 a year being fixed for
the Temple in question

(Traced out from the Old Mss. Register)

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mug File No. 660 of 19 09

Serial No.

Of the [illegible] ...
... Inoctr (Cd). Arachi-
kandapillai submitted a re-
presentation to H.H. the Maharaja
praying for the restoration of
the village, which on a former
occasion the Government
and the Board of Revenue
had ordered to be resumed from
the Kattukudi Pattakarar
to Vedanayagam Pillai on
resumption of the Kattukudi
Pattakaram [illegible]

391 of
14.4.08)

[illegible]

[illegible] When the village was
ordered to be resumed to Govt.,
and the Inchavaram Pandaram of
Rs 36 Sweets & the temple, the
arrears of Rs 826 odd were ordered
to be written off.

The present representation
has been sent by the Collector of the
Maharaja [illegible] village
[illegible] Rs 36
is not in existence [illegible]

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Serial No.

conduct of the req[d] services in the temple and that the archak is liable to [illegible] in case of increased remuneration is [illegible] in which case the temple will have its remedy, performed with it. The villagers are said to be willing to pay the arrears of 82 & Rs would induce to be written off.

The Dy com[r] while recommending the prayer of the villagers for the archak & the village does not say anything about the enhanced tax & the continued to be levied with original [illegible] of 70 Rs per year. He has also not referred to [illegible] on the subject.

It may the Dy com[r] be asked to say whether in case the village is restored, the ~~village~~ temple will get 40 the extra tax & ~~remitted~~ Rs 26 ~~remitted~~ will be levied from the restored village?

Ed
16.7.20

We may make an enquiry
Ed
16/7/20

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

R. S. III-21

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mug File No. 660 of 19 19

Serial No.

H.W

A similar request ~~for~~ for the anchak prefixed in Act 1907 - has been vetoed by the Govt in P.Z.No. 444391 d/14.4.08 -.

~~Considering how far~~ ~~this~~. The Govt's attention might be drawn to that order. The arrears due on the village ~~was~~ has been already written off under P.Z.No 490 - 13 d/ 18.2.1920.

Flat

The present request for the restoration of the village to the temple. Looks inadmissible.

Addl
19-7-20.

Addl)

Is the Village ~~Mug~~ to be leased ~~down~~ if unoccupied
Yes
20/7/20

For note

Addl
20-7-20

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

a 9/7

R. S. III-2,

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Misc. File No. 660 of 191 5.

Serial No.

1 In his Kaifiyat by Archak Sunnaf, he says that the land he obtained by him and one Madhava [illegible] procured [illegible] Sub [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 660 of 1919.

Serial No.

and that 83 acres and 20 guntas of land, assessed at Rs 114-8-0 is lying Banjar at present in the Village.

Submitted for orders.

AV 30.8.24

May Enquiry be made now?

BL 30/8/24

Have the Owners of S. 42 & 43 no objection?

VJ 10/9/24

It is seen from the Vern. records that viz S. no. 5 is in the possession of Archak Gundappa, and S. nos. 42 and 43 are in the possession of Hajam Narayaniga of Chalamkote. There are no statements from these persons to show whether the owners have any objection to restore the lands, & the receipts

Submitted

BL 4.9.24.

VJ 20/9/24

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 660 of 1918.

| Serial No. | |
|---|---|
| 4 | To, Dy. Comm. Kolar Dt.<br>No. 1524 Dy. 22.9.21<br>Request to obtain & send us the statements of the Owners of the lands in question as to whether they have any objection to make over the lands to the instn. etc |
| by 5 | From The ~~Same~~ Dy. Comr. Kolar Dt<br>R.C. No. 471/17-18 Dy 13.12.21.<br>States that the Khatedar of S. No. 5 has agreed to restore the land in his possession & enjagment to the temple & that the holder of S. Nos. 42 & 43 absconded himself in spite of the notice & that from enquiries made by the Amildar it is ascertained that he is not willing to give up the lands.<br>The Amildar recommends that the lands may be treated as Kadim Inams.<br>Subs. for orders.<br>Dy. 5/1/22 Dy 5/1/22<br>Agree, to the Report and Confirmed |

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. | of 19

To see what interest he has in the land

Serial No.

The file of S. Nos 42 and 43 in favour of Bapan Narayana or his predecessor in title.
U.J. 5.1.22

M.R. 57-2

The law does not seem to have been obtained under darkhast rules in the year

No. Roodri (O.S.) (vide amildar's report No. 16 dt 21-22 dt 22.7.21.

Sub-d. visited a order calling for the original records.
Dy 6.1.22
Chand U. Hegde.

6 To The Dy. Comm. Kolar Dt.
No 3583 dt 13th Jan: 22
Calls for the original records pertaining to the land S. Nos 42 & 43 in favour of Bapan Narayanappa in the Dy. Comm. Mysore Dist.

U.J. From the Dy. Comr. Mysore Dist.
Letter No. 491 dt 10.2.22.
Submits the original records called for in Sl-6.

(Vide Pg. 13)
Hanaz Patil.

Submit for orders.
15.2.22. Dy 15/2/22

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

In case worker will see the papers date and Whenever wanted.
Dy 15/2/22

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. of 19

Serial No

The lands were disposed of in August 1920 while the Revenue about the restoration of the Village to the temple was pending before Govt. It is much to be regretted that the Amildar should have allowed this

As Agam Narayana has appeal rights under S. 42 & 43, the Village cannot be restored ~~it is later~~ to the institution.

Draft- 21/2/22

Asst. S.O. Examined.

20. 2. 22. 20/2/22

Second Member 21/2/22

4475-141
22. 2. 22

A What was the authority under which this was done What is the Explanation if any. of the Amildar to the disposal of the land while matter was still under Enquiry? Some one has blundered

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-21

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. | of 19

Serial No.

Somewhere & this must be exactly known also.

Read
28.2.22. Draft 28/2/22

33
Do he command.
MM
25.2.22. 25/2/22

MM 28/2/22

8 To The Dy. Comr., Kolar Distt
no: 4752 dated 2nd March 192
Enquiries, under what authority
the Amildar has disposed of
S. nos: 42 & 43 of Vaparlanitanda Village
in August 1920, while the restoration
of the entire village to the Sri Kshetra
Omkareswara Swami Devasthana was
under consideration

From, the Dy. Comr., Kolar Distt,
Letter no. R.H.P. 471 Dy 12.5.22.
States that it is seen from
the Amildar's report that the Amildar
disposed of the case on his own
authority, and that this was

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

R. S. III-21

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Inam File No. 660 of 19 19

Serial No.

an unintentional mistake.

2. In his report no. 449 Dy 6.4.22, The Amildar Mr B. Venkata Krishnayya states that action was taken in the matter of the Darkhast for the temple lands by the Hobli Sumastha who did not know the records relating to the restoration of temple inam pending with Muzrai Sumastha, and that as the 2 Sumasthas were in charge of 2 different items of work, there was no occasion for them to know each other's work. He further states that as he (the Amildar) did not remember, he confirmed the Sale. He adds that "the mistake is unintentional".

But none the less, inexcusable. BR

3. Attention is respectfully invited to paras 28 and 32 of the Price Note.

Submitted for orders

18-5-22. EG

19.5.22.

NOTE—Contn. sheet

C. R. S. III-21

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Inwd File No. 660 of 1922.

Serial No. 37

A (1) The prayer may be ~~denied~~ rejected.

Flag G

38 (2) The Amildar's explanation is not satisfactory in such matters. ~~If~~ It is the Amr of Mr. the Collr whom the Govt looks to. approved, the facts will be intimated to the Revenue Amr and he will be instructed to administer a severe warning to the Amr

~~The attempt explanation adopts~~

Boo 20/5/22

38) 1 Memo

6717-228 25.5.22

40) A approves.

40) B This has to be brought to the Dewan's notice. The Amildar has grouped badly & his explanation is not worth the name.

Bell 26.5.22.

DEWAN'S OFFICE. Recd. on MAY 26 1922 Reg. No. 6647

40) Dewan,

Boo 26/5

43) B. Papers - 4

44) Hence A should be rejected 16.6 & the Sale be cancelled.

45) As the date of sale, the lands were Govt inam at the Inam tenure having previously been resumed. The lands & the Amildar was competent to sell them that this was a proposal to grant it afresh as Inam does not change the tenure. Hence it would not be right to cancel the sale which was held properly so far as went. Since occupancy by

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-21

General and Revenue Secretariat. | hw3 File No. 660 of 19

Serial No.

have arisen, the prayer to grant the mileage
afresh as drawn was proposed & rejected.

Dr
18 Members
& Dewan

17/6

73/8-96.
21.6.22

46) I do not think that the proposal
to grant - ~~allow~~ the mileage as drawn
& the restrictions can be accepted at this
stage. It would be licence to do so after
what has happened. Though it was ~~done~~ made in
in excusable mistake on the part of the Minister

Rec
23.6.22

DEWAN'S OFFICE.
Recd. on JUN 24 1922
Reg. No. 7211

47) Secy. The Council
may be moved

47

48) Put up. file.

49) Yes.

Rs 102/-

Five Members

50) Bill approved

Rec
24.7.22 51

377.377
12.7.22

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Inv. File No. 660 of 1919.

| Serial No. | |
|---|---|
| 10. | To, the Rev. Comr. and the Dy. Comr., Kolar Dist., G.O. no. 2934 Dy 20.7.22. states that the Village of Nagalmitte cannot be restored to the temple of Sri Chandramouleswara Swami as inam, as Hajam Narayanga has acquired rights over S. nos. 42 and 43 of the Village. From Narayanga son of Hajam Papiga, Petthar Dy [illegible] on 11.9.22. States that he is a devotee of Sri Chandramouleswara Swami, and expresses his willingness to surrender the said lands which has been in his enjoyment for ½ years, if the inam be ordered to do so, and requests that as already prayed for by the Brahmins and the villagers, the Village of Nargarbanne be restored to the temple. |

52)

53)

NOTE-- Contn. sheet

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Jm/3 File No. 660 of 19

Serial No.

a well as the Paramacharya of the Mutt, Kanchi &c.

54) Another petition (D-897) from Archak Kumara Swamiah praying that for reasons stated above, the village may be restored as Inam to the temple is placed on the dole.

55) Submitted for orders. x

M 13-9-22. BL 14.9.22 Ys 14/9/22

AV 14.9.22 56)

BL 16/9/22 57) Issue 16.9.22

12 To the Asst: Commr: in Mysore no: 1292 dt: Sept: 22 Forwarded the petition for favour of

NOTE---Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Inuz File No. 660 of 1919

| Serial No. | |
|---|---|
| 13. | From, the Inuz Comr<br>Letter no. C. 214 dt 1-11-23.<br>Submits the report called for in Sl. 12.<br>58) The Inuz. Comr's. letter explains the facts of the case.<br>(Ag. W). 59) The Dy. Comr recommend the restoration of the Village of Nagarhitlu as inam to Sri Chandramouleswara Swami temple, while the Inuz Comr states that as the lands have been treated as sircar, it is impossible to recommend their being converted to inam as the holders are now ryots, and that it appears to be sufficient to grant as inam the present available unoccupied lands of an extent of 50 acres. The Inuz. Comr adds that if his proposal is accepted, he will make a further reference in consultation with the Dy. Comr.<br>60) Submit. In order ? |

6.11.23 8/6 6.11.23

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mysore File No. 663 of 1924.

Serial No.

61) The Mysore Govt's recommendation may be approved and the Cash Tasdik reduced proportionately

-13 11 25

Rev. Secy)

Gerard Meuleman 62) 11/13/11/25

13 11 25.

332
15.11.23

63) The Dharmadarshi was removed from about 23 years ago; and since then some of the lands have come under private occupancy. The restoration of the village as Dharmadarshi for the temple was ordered in ~~Yadgir~~ Shorapur but the present occupants of the ~~land~~ lands in the village of the ~~Dharmadarshi~~ Dharmadarshi should be ~~removed~~ evicted, as it is ~~not~~ necessary ~~that~~ such operation from ~~the~~ ~~operation~~ be effected by the present occupants.

64) However, it does not appear that the restoration of the Dharmadarshi village for the temple will materially benefit the ~~temple~~ as after deducting the Sudhirs from the ~~gross~~ gross Jamabandi of the village, the balance left for the temple will be only about Rs 19/-.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

Muzrai Secretariat

Muz. File No. 660 of 192

19

Serial No.

G. R. S. III-2]

Muzrai Secretariat | Muz. File No. 660 of 1919.

| Serial No. | |
|---|---|
| 14 | To the Muzrai Comm^r. No. 735 A. 24.11.23. States that Govt agree with him that the jodi village of Nagalapuram cannot be restored as Inam to the temple in question and that the question of granting unoccupied lands to the temple may be considered by him if proposals are made by the parties concerned. |
| 15. | From, Archaka Kumara Swami Dekshita, Petition Dy 9/12/23 Prays that on a re-consideration of the orders conveyed in Sl. 14, the jodi Village of Nagalapuram in question, may be restored as Inam to the institution. |
| | 71) The review-application is duly stamped. The grounds for review are summarized in the petition. |
| | 72) In para 6, the petr. states |

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD 0361—GPB—3750—1-8 22

Serial No.

that he is ready and willing to pay up the arrears which have accumulated to Rs 800/ to Govt, thereby the Govt arrears will be cleared, and that he will have the chance of devoting endeavours to improve the income from the village, and benefit the temple and its services.

Submitted for orders.

AV 15/12/23 SG 15/12/23

73) Office is invited to member's minute dated 15.11.23 rejecting the application for restoration of the village as Inam, after consideration of all the circumstances. The question has been brought up many a time & the Govt have always rejected it. The Archak may perhaps be informed that he should no more urge the question & this review appln rejected

D 26.12.23.

(A) 597 26.12.23 2nd member.

(A) 74) I agree.

27/12/23

75) 29.12.23

The Hajira submission was due to my illness last week

D 26.12.23.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

U 31/12

G. R. S. III-2)

(23)

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 660 of 1923

| Serial No. | |
|---|---|
| 76) | Dft. endt. submd. AG 2.1.1924 BG 2/1/24 |
| 77) | Issue D 3.1.24. |
| 16 | To Archak Kumaraswami Dikshit, Sri Chandrasamudrasmaraswami temple at Nemmegamalai, Chintamani Taluk. Informs him that there are no grounds for reconsidering the order of 24th Nov. 1923 and rejects his revision applⁿ accordingly. Rc. 938 d. 12.1.24. |
| 13 | |

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

22'20

DIARY No. 2220

19/9/1920

SECTION Mur

FILE No. 660-19

SERIAL No. 1

2554 191

**From**

The Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar District,

**To**

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore,
Muzrai Department,
Bangalore.

**Dated** 24th April 1920.

**Despatched** 25

**Received**

**General No.** No 47/9/7.18

**No.**

**Enclosure No.** 2

Reporting on the petition of Purushothamasastri and others of -- Murugamale in the Chintamani Taluk, praying for the restoration of the Village Nagarlamitte originally endowed to the Sri Chandramouleswara Swami Temple at Murugamale.

Office of the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District,

Dated Kolar, the 24th April 1920.

From

Md. Zahiruldin Mecci, Esquire., B.A.,

Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District.

To

T.P. Jayarama Aiyar, Esquire., B.A.,B.L.,

Secretary to the Government of Mysore,

Muzrai Department, Bangalore.

Sir,

Adverting to your Official Memorandum No.1153/Muz.102-17 dated 10th November 1917, forwarding for disposal petition from -- Purushotham Sastri and others of Murugamale Village in the Chintamani Taluk, praying for the restoration of the Village of Nagarlamitte -- originally endowed to the Sri Chandramouleswara Swami Temple at the place, I have the honor to state as follows:-

2. The Jodi Village of Nagarlamitte endowed to the Temple in question was Dechara and was resumed by Government owing to the income therefrom having fallen considerably short of the amount of quit-rent etc., annually payable thereon and the accumulation of arrears due to Government to the extent of Rs.830-15-4 at the close of the year 1908-09. In lieu thereof Government also raised simultaneously the cash allowance of the Temple from Rs.10/- to Rs.36/- per annum.

3. The Temple referred to has no other Inam lands for its up keep and maintenance, and the Amildar of Chintamani now reports that the petitioners and the Villagers say that the services cannot be -- conducted satisfactorily from the cash grant of Rs.36/- per annum -- endowed at present to the Temple, that the present Archak wishes to go away if his remuneration is not increased, that if he goes away, there is no probability of conducting worship in the Temple satisfactorily and that it is therefore necessary to make it worth his while to -- stay on. For this purpose they pray that the Inam Village may be -- restored to the Temple and placed in the possession of the Archak, the

old arrears amounting to Rs.826-15-4 being ordered to be written off or if this is not possible being recovered from the villagers who are willing to pay it to restore the Temple to good working condition.

4. The Amildar, Chintamani, supports the prayer of the villagers and recommends that it is very desirable to restore the said village in order to bring the Temple into a flourishing condition. As the Villagers seem to be very enthusiastic in the matter, I think their prayer deserves favourable consideration and the Inam Village may be restored to the Temple on payment by the villagers of the old arrears of Rs.826-15-4.

5. The connected vernacular records bearing on the subject are herewith submitted for reference and obliging return when no longer required.

I have the honor to be,

S i r,

Your most obedient servant,

Treasury Assistant Commissioner,
For Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District
( Absent from station.)

C 2147 mm 27

24.16

No. Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Dated Bangalore, 26th July 1923.

From

K. Chandy, Esquire., B.A.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore.

To

T. E. Jayarama Aiyar, Esquire, B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore, Revenue Department.

JUL 1923

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.1292/M.F.660-19-2 dated 19t September 1922 and subsequent reminders calling for a report on the petition from Hajam Narayaniga re the restoration of the Jodi ----- Nagarlamitte Village to the temple of Sri Chandramowleswara Swamy at Murugamale village, Chintamani Taluk, I have the honor to state that on the Deputy Commissioners report No.C.471 dated the 11th July 1923 he has been requested to furnish certain additional information on the subject and that immediately on receipt of his reply a report -- will be submitted.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
K Chandy
Muzrai Commissioner.

28)

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

*Diary No. of letter replied to* .....

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

( )

*, the* *191*

*Date of issue* 23.9.20

*Signed by* [illegible] 23-9-1920

**Section** Irrig an

**File No.** 66:-19

**Serial No.** 2

No. 709

To

The Rly Commr,

Kalka N.R.,

Sir,

Referring to your letter No 271 dt 28th August 1920 recommending the retention of Rajpura-Ambala Loop as part of the Saharanpur-Umbala-Kalka Temporary Diversion, I am directed to inquire [illegible]

over.

*List of enclosures*

*Copied by*

*Examined by*

WD 6—GPB—60 000—15 11 16




66947

From

The Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar District.

To

The Secretary to the Government of
Mysore, Muzrai Department,
Bangalore.

Dated the 19th August 1921.

Despatched 21.8

Received 23 AUG 1921

General No. RHC 471-17-10

No.

Enclosure No. file.

Furnishing additional information, anent the restoration of Nagerlamitte village as Inam, to the Sri Chandramouleswaraswami temple, at Munugumalla in the Chintamani Taluk.

Office of the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District.

Dated Kolar, the 19th August 1921.

From

Md. Mahiruddin Qadri, Esq.,B.A.,

Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District.

To

T. N. JAGARAVA IYER, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Secretary to the Government of Mysore,

Revenue Department, Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No:709/Muz:660-19-2, dated 23rd August 1920, orders the restoration of Nagarla itte village, as Inam to the Sri Chandramoulesvaraswari temple at Muragamale, in the Chintamani Taluk, I have the honor to state that it is reported by the Amildar of Chintamani that S.No:5 (Tafe/Inam service)Wet, measuring 83 guntas, ~~as~~ assessed at Rs 1-12-0 and S.Nos:42 (dry and Bagayat) and 43 (dry), measuring 1 acre and 16 guntas, ~~respectively~~, and 2 acres and 20 guntas respectively, assessed at Rs 3-8-0 each, have been disposed of by Darkhast, to the Archak Gunadappa and Hajam Narayanige, respectively, and that 83 acres and 20 guntas of land, assessed at Rs 114-8-0, is lying banjar, at present, in the village.

2. The vernacular file of correspondence, ending with the Chintamani Sub Division Officer's Memo, No:82 of 20-21, dated 2nd instant, is herewith submitted for reference.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

R Kuncappa 2078

Treasury Assistant Commissioner,
For Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar District.
(absent from station).

V.V.R.

32

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

*Diary No. of letter replied to*..... .....

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT
( )
*the* 191 .

*Date of issue*.....

*Signed by*.....

**Section** Inam
**File No.** 660-19
**Serial No.** 4

**No.**

To

The Dy. Com^r,
Kolar Dist.

Sir,

Adverting to your letter No. 471 Dy 21st August 1921 reporting that S. Nos. 5, 42 and 43 originally inam in the khata of Sri Chandramouleswara Swami temple at Immigamale, Chintamani taluk, [illegible] been [illegible]

over.

to Archak Sundappa, and
Hajam Narayanigar of
Chalamakote, I am directed
to request that you will be
so good as to obtain their
statements, and send them
same as to whether they
have any objection to restore these
these lands to the inam
and send them up forthwith
under intimation to me.

(2) The records are
herewith returned for reference.

Yours &c.

[illegible]

List of enclosures.

[illegible]

[illegible]

28043 2410

From 21 22

The Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar District. 660-19

To 21 5

The Secretary to the Government of
Mysore, Mzral Department,
Bangalore.

Dated the 9th December 1921.

Despatched 13-12-21

Received

General No. 471
No. 17-18

Enclosure No. File

Furnishing additional information relating to the restoration of the vil of Nagarlam tle, an Inam village endowed to the Sri Chandramouleswaraswami temple at Muragamale, in the Chintamani Taluk.

Office of the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District.

Dated Kolar, the 9th December 1921.

13

From

M. Zahiruddin Saheb, Esq., B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District.

To

L. V. Jagannatha Iyer, Esq., B.A,
Secretary to Government of Mysore,
Revenue Department, B a n g a l o r e.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No:1624/Muz:660-XV-4, dated 2nd September 1921, relating to the restoration of the village of Bellamatte, an inam village endowed to the Sri Chandramouleshwara Swami temple at Murugamalle in the Chintamani Taluk, I have the honor to state that it is reported by the Amildar of the Chintamani Taluk, that Undappa who is the Khatedar of S.No:5, has agreed to restore the land to the temple and has given a statement to that effect and that, as regards S.Nos:42 & 43, which are in the enjoyment of one Hajam Narayaniga, the Khatedar has absented himself, though the notice was served on him and that, from the enquiries instituted by the Amildar at the time of demarcation, it would seem that the Khatedar is not willing to restore the lands.

2. The vernacular file of correspondence, relating to which the Chintamani Sub Division Officer's yadi No:62, dated 30-11-21, is herewith submitted for reference.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Thimmappa 13/12
Inspector of Inland Commission,
for Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar District.

V.V.K.

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

*Diary No. of letter replied to*.....

REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(  )

*the* 191 .

*Date of issue*.....

*Signed by*.....

Section Navy

File No. 660-19

Serial No. 6

No.

To

The Dep. Commissioner,
Kolar Dt.

Sir,

With reference to your letter
No. No 471/17-18 dt 13.12.21, furnishing
additional information regarding
the restoration of Nagarlamalle
village to the Sri Channa-
mallesvara swami temple at
Mungasandra, Chintamani Dt,
I am directed to request that
the original records relating to
the case of S. Nos. 42 and 43 to
Hajam Narayanaiga in ...

over.

for reference &c.

2. The enclosure, to your letter under reply are returned



*List of enclosures.*

*Copied by*

*Examined by*

WD 1744—GPB—3 ,000—19-3 19

331

660-19

From

The Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar District.

To

The Secretary to Government of
of Mysore, Muzrai Department
Bangalore.

Dated the 10th February 1928.

Despatched

Received 13/2/28

General No. 471

No. 17-28

Enclosure No. File

---

Submitting the original records relating to the sale of three survey Nos. 42 & 43 to Hajee Ramanagaram at Nagarlanitte in the Chintamany taluk.

---

Office of the Deputy

Kolar District,

Dated, Kolar, the 10th February

From

Md. Zahiruddin Mecca, Esq., B.A.,

Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District.

To

T. B. Jaya Rama Iyer, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Secretary to Government of Mysore,

Muzrai Department, Bangalore.

Sir,

I have the honour to submit herewith the original records relating to the sale of S. Nos. 42 & 43 to Hajim Narayanaiga as required in your letter No. 3583/Muz 660-19-6 dated 13th January 192?.

The vernacular file received with the above is also herewith retransmitted for reference.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

R Mallappa 10/2

Treasury Assistant Commissioner,
For Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar District.
(absent from station).

MRR.

G. R. S. VI—G/321

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

*Diary No. of letter replied to* 3307

Section

File No. 660

Serial No 8 19

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* 191 .

No. 4752

One file

Date of issue 2-3-22

To

The Dy Commr,

Kolar Dist.

Signed by Mr T. Ey. Aiyar

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. H.C. 471 Dy 10th Febry. 22 on the subject regarding the restoration of the Inam Village of Nagasandra to Sri Chandramouleswara Swami Temple as Inam to Muthugunale Village, Chintamani Taluk,

over.

am directed to

that S. nos. 42 and 43 of
Nagarkuttu Village were disposed
of by the Taluk Buildars
in August 1920, while the
references about the restoration
of the Lutus Village & the temple
was pending before him,
and to request to be informed
under whose authority
this was done, and that
the explanation, ~~if any~~,
the Buildars ~~is to the~~ in regard to the
disposal of the land while
the ~~matter~~ Sub-Judge was ~~still under~~
enquiry pending before him

(1) the favour of a
very early reply is requested
(2) the records are herewith
returned for reference
no Encl.

List of enclosures.

Yours faithfully
Illegible
25/4/22
Asst. Secretary.

Copied by

Examined by

By
25/4/22

19 42

47349
-22
Mcr
660-19 9

**From**

The Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar District.

**To**

The Secretary to Government of Mysore
Luzrai Department, Bangalore.

**Dated** the 9th May 1922.

**Despatched** 12

**Received** 15/5/22

**General No.** PR Hr 471/1918

**No.**

**Enclosure No.** 1 file of correspondence

Stating that the disposal of the Chintamani Taluk.
S.Nos: 42 and 43 of Nagarlahalli by the Amildar in disposing of on his own authority was an unintentional Mistake &c.

Office of the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District

Dated Kolar, the 9th May 1922.

12

From

Zahiruddin Mecci, Esq.,B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District.

To

A. V. Ramanathan, Esquire, B.A.,
Secretary to Government of Mysore (in Charge).
Muzrai Department, Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No:4752/Muz:660-19-8, dated 2-5-1922 about the disposal of S.Nos: 42 and 43 of Nagalapalle village, I have the honor to state that from the reports of the Amildar, it is seen that he disposed them of on his own authority and that this was an unintentional mistake.

The entire file of correspondence ending with the Chintamani sub division officer's report No:H.C.82/20-21 dated 12-4-22 is herewith resubmitted.

I have the honor to be,
S i r,
Your most obedient servant,

R Venkatarama.

Treasury Assistant Commissioner,
for Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar District.

V.V.R.

G.R.S. VI-71]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

*Diary No. of letter replied to*.....4734.....

Section Mi

File No. 660

Serial No 9

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

*Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.*

, *the* *191*

Date of issue.....20-7-27.....

Signed by Mr T.S. 9-Iyer

*Read*—

Govt Pro. no. 490—11/24 mus 18-94 dy 18th nov 1900 directing that the inam village of Nagarmitte endowed to Sri chandramouleshwara Swami temple at Murugamale, chintamani Taluk, which was under the management of the Dy. Comr in the Rev. Dept. be resumed to Government absolutely as enfranchised freehold on payment of ...

Order Thereon—No.

May this be

i Printed ?

treated as a standing order ?

published in the Gazette, English Part ?

translated ?

v placed at the disposal of the press ?

vi published in the Gazette, Kannada Part ?

Encl 2

15/7

main resumed.

Correspondence ending with letter no. 471 Dy 12th May 1922 from the Dy. Com'r, Kolar Dist. recommending the restoration of the said Village & the temple on payment by the Villagers of a sum of Rs 826-15-4 being the arrears of Quit-rent due & fixed at the close of 1898-9

Order thereon no 2123-4 dtd 11th Aug 1660-15 Sec 5/7/22

Govt observe that S. nos. 42 and 43 in the Village in question were disposed of by the Amildar Chintamani Taluk, Mr. Mal Dakshinamurthy

*List of enclosures.*

*Copied by*

*Examined by*

WD 1744—GPB —30,000—17-5-15

G. R. S. G/33].

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

*(Continuation sheet draft form.)*

in August 1920, while the reference about the restoration of the village to the temple was pending before Govt. this was highly irregular and the Rev Comr is requested to warn M.s. Ponnambalam ~~the Board~~ against a repetition of such irregularities.

Mr Hajam Narayaniya has acquired rights over S. nos 42 and 43, at the said sale, the village of Nagarkoville cannot be restored to the inam.

Rev 14.9.22

16/9/22
Asst. Secretary.

Govt 7.9.22

The Rev. Comr for information in D.O. Reply with

WD 2230—GPB—1,00 000—23 7 20

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

*Diary No. of letters replied to* 8249
8397

General and Revenue Secretariat
( )
*, the* *191* .

Date of issue 19-9-22

Signed by M.T.E. 4.9.22

Section
File No. 30-1
Serial No 12

No. 1292
Revenue

To
The Inspector General of Police

In continuation of G.O. No. 273-4/Revn. 660-19 dt 20.9.22 stating that the village of Pothanikkad [illegible] District to which [illegible] should be [illegible]

*over.*

village, I am directed to
forward herewith for favour
of report with regard
petition regd. no. 887 from
Thiru Narayanigar Oppareiyar
[illegible]

*List of enclosures.*

16.1.92

16.9.92

*Copied by*

*Examined by*

14568



AV 2/11/23

1-11-23

Urgent

2 Nil yrs
2-11-23 (complete)

Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,
Dated, Bangalore, the 1st November 1923.

1457

From




K. Chandy, Esquire, B.A.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore.

660-19-1




T.E. Jayarama Aiyar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore, Revenue Department,
Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to correspondence ending with this office letter C.No.214 of 22-23 dated 26th July 1923 stating that the Deputy Commissioner has been requested to furnish certain additional information on the petition of Hajam Narayaniga in the matter of the restoration to the temple of the Jodi Nagarlamitte village as an endowment to the Sri Chandramouleswaraswamy temple at Murugamale village, Chintamani Taluk, I have the nonor to forward herewith the records received with the Deputy Commissioner's letters Nos.H.C.471 (V.W.) of 17-18 dated 7th July 1923 and 6/7th October 1923 mentioning all the circumstances of the case and recommending the restoration of the village as an inam to the temple and the lease of it for ten years to the archak, the present occupancy rights continuing as they are, by mutual agreement between the archak and the tenants (copies of which are herein enclosed), and to state that as the lands have been treated as Surcar, it is impossible to recommend their being converted to inam as the holders of the land are now Government ryots and as such they could not be made such tenants of the temple as proposed by the Deputy Commissioner and it appears to be sufficient to grant as Inam the present available unoccupied lands, which are of a considerable extent of 50 acres. If this is approved, a further reference will be made in consultation with the Deputy Commissioner.

P.T.O.

The records received with the Deputy Commissioner's l are herewith submitted for reference.

I have the honor to be,

S i r,

Your most obedient servant,

K. Chandy

Muzrai Commissioner.

D.K..

Letter No.H.C.471/17-18 dated 6/7th October 1923 from the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District, to the Muzrai Commissioner:--

- - - -

With reference to your letter No.C.214/22-23 dated 26th July 1923, regarding the restoration of the Jodi Nagarlamitte village to the temple of Sri Chandramouleswaraswami at Muragamale village, - Chintamani Taluk, I have the honor to forward herewith, the entire file of correspondence ending with Kolar Sub Division Officer's report No.R.H.C.243/22-23 dated 17th September 1923, and to state as follows:

2. As regards the first point raised in the letter under reply, the Amildar reports that all the lands of the village have not been brought under cultivation. The area comprised by the village is 283 acres 36 guntas in all and excluding the unassessed waste, there remains an area of 149 acres of assessed land. Out of this latter area 63 acres 2 guntas of land are actually under cultivation at - present, leaving an uncultivated area of 85 acres 38 guntas. Out of the area of 63 acres 2 guntas an extent of 13 acres 1 gunta has to be excluded, as this constitutes minor Inams assigned for the temple - service. Thus there remains an area of 50 acres and 1 gunta as Sirkar land under cultivation.

3. A perusal of the Jamabandi abstract enclosed in the accompanying records shows that the arable lands of 149 acres are assessed at Rs.254-12-0, including the Minor inams and the Banjar lands and that the assessment on the Sirkar lands practically under cultivation viz., 50 acres 1 gunta is Rs.102-12-0. The miscellaneous items such as Inam Jodi, Hulbani etc., amount to Rs.30/- according to Jamabandi abstract of the year 22-23, thus making the Jamabandi of the village Rs.124-11-3.

4. As regards the second point, it is to be stated that the Archak is not in favour of having the possession of only Sirkar lands, but prays for the restoration of the entire village to his possession. I think that his prayer seems to be reasonable, inasmuch as he has to pay to Government a sum of Rs.82/- and odd towards the jodi and a further sum of Rs.24/- and odd towards the peshkash to the village

village officials annually. Deducting these liabilities, there would hardly be a net income of Rs.20/- to the Archak.

Under these circumstances, it would be better to restore the whole village to the possession of the Archak, as already recommended by me.

One more point has to be settled in this case. That is, while the Inam tenure was cancelled and the village was held under Government management an extent of 9 acres 13 guntas of Sirkar lands was disposed of under Darkhast rules and one Madhava Rao purchased 7 acres 39 guntas and the Archak Gundappa 1 acre 14 guntas. The former has since passed on the lands to one Subba Rao Mune by sale for a consideration of Rs.275 and the latter has been enjoying the land for some years past. The restoration of the inam tenure of the village should be subject to the ~~restoration~~ occupancy rights of these holders in respect of the above lands, leaving it to the Archak and the holders of the land to enter into any further arrangement which they may come to by mutual agreement.

- - - - -

Copy.

for Muzrai Commissioner.

D.N.

Letter No.R.H.C.471/17-18 dated 7th July 1923 from the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District, to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore:-

- - - - -

"With reference to your letter No.C.214/22-23 dated 28th September 1922, calling for a report, re: the restoration of the temple of the Jodi Nagarlamitte village, endowed to the Sri Chandramouleswaraswami temple at Murugamale village, Chintamani Taluk, I have the honor to forward herewith, the file of correspondence, ending with Malur Sub Division Officer's report No.R.H.C.243/22-23 dated 16th December 1922, and to state as follows:--

2. Nagarlamitte was a Devadaya Inam village, with a beriz of Rs. 107-8-0 endowed to the Sri Chandramouleswaraswami temple at Murugamale by His Highness the Sri Krishnaraja Wadiyar Bahadur III, and was in possession and enjoyment of the Archak of the temple till the end of the year 1885. The income from the village having been very poor and even less than the sum of Rs.82-1-11 which is the quit rent payable to Government annually, the archak could not pay the jodi amount, regularly, and consequently a sum of Rs.505-8-9 fell into arrears before the year 1885.

The matter having been reported to Government, it was directed that the Inam village should be taken up under Government management. Accordingly, the village came under Government management in the year 1886. It was further reported in this office letter No.3303/788 dated 22nd March 1894, that even under Government management matters did not improve and the arrears of Jodi due to Government had increased to Rs.635-4-6, in consequence of the income of the village having continually fallen considerably short of the quit rent payable to Government. The village was Becharakh and there was no prospect of the village being redeemed within the period of 12 years prescribed for such redemption. In the above circumstances, two alternative courses are suggested by this office, viz.,

(i) To resume the village to Government, remitting all the arrears of Jodi due thereon, or

(ii) to bring it under Survey Settlement and transfer it to the management of the Muzrai Department.

Thereupon the Government were pleased to direct in their order No.170-1 Muz.F.19-94 dated 14-8-1894, the continuance of the management of the village by the Revenue Department, and the introduction of Survey and Settlement into the village, the resumption of the village being reserved for further consideration. In reply to the above order, it was reported in this office letter No.1227/2381 dated 2nd October 1899, that even the introduction of Survey and Settlement into the village, in pursuance of the said order, did not bring about an improvement in the financial condition of the village and that the arrears of Jodi had increased to Rs.826-15-4 at the close of the year 1898-99. It was also recommended in the same letter that the arrears outstanding might be written off the accounts and the village granted to the archak of the temple for cultivation on Kaidayam tenure, on condition of his performing pooja regularly. The Government were pleased to direct in their order No.490-1/Muz.D.19-94 dated the 1st November 1900, the absolute resumption of the village to Government, the arrears of Jodi being permitted to be written off. They were further pleased to sanction a cash grant of - Rs.26/- per annum to the temple, in lieu of the land inam resumed, this sum being in addition to the Muzrai grant of Rs.10/- separately enjoyed by the temple under Inam title deed of 1871.

3. Eversince the resumption, the cash grant of Rs.36/- is being - regularly paid to the archak. But the archak has submitted petitions to Government, complaining of the inadequancy of remuneration and praying for the restoration of the village to the temple and placing it in his possession, on condition of his paying up the old arrears of jodi amount of Rs.826-15-4, and performing the pooja regularly. The villagers of Munganahalli have also urged that the village should be restored for the benefit of this ancient temple. Another petition submitted to Government by Purushottam Sastri and others having been forwarded to this office, a detailed report was made in this office letter No.RHC.471/17-18 dated 28-4-1920 recommending the restoration of the village to the temple.

4. In connection with a subsequent recommendation made by my predecessor Mr. Zainuddin Mecci, in August 1921, it was brought to the notice of Government that 3 survey numbers viz., 5, 42, and 43 which were originally in the khate of temple, had been disposed of under Darkhast Rules by

by Amildar Mr. Venkatakrishnappa, while the reference about the restoration of the village to the temple was pending before Government. The Government then observed in their order of the 20th July 1922, th in consequence of the disposal of the said 3 survey numbers, the - entire village, could not be restored to the temple. Enquiries having been made in pursuance of Muzrai Secretary's letter No.1624/Muz.660-19-4 dated 22nd September 1921, it has been now ascertained that the two persons i.e., Archak Gundappa and Boggaram Suryanarayanachetty of - Muragamale, who have acquired possession of the 3 survey numbers, have no objection whatever to make over the lands to the temple. Their statements have also been placed in the accompanying file.

5. The Sub Division Officer was asked to report after due enquiry into the matter as to what guarantee there was that the old arrears of jodi and cesses could be recovered from the archaks of the temple and that the quit rent payable would not again fall into arrearse, since the past history of the village was not very encouraging. His report No.T.C.243/22-23 dated 7th June 1923, submitted in reply to the above, is placed in the accompanying file. It shows that, though the archak is not able to pay up the arrears due to Government, the devotees and the villagers of Muragamala village are ready to deposit the sum of Rs.826-15-4 on behalf of the archaks since they are very eager to see that the archak, whose cash emoluments are too low, at present, for his subsistence is kept contended by restoring the village to his possession. The Sub Division Officer, further states, that the future managements of the village would not be so bad as it was before, since there has been a material change in the condition and the value of the wet lands of the village and there has been a good demand for cultivation of the dry lands. The Sub Division Officer's ~~report~~ opinion appears to me to be reasonable under the circumstances and may be - accepted. As regards the continuance of the cash grant of Rs.26/- to the archak, sanctioned by Government in their order No.490-1/Muz.F. 19-94 dated the 1st November 1900, the Sub Division Officer, thinks that this additional grant need not be continued after the restoration of the village to the temple.

6. In the circumstances above explained, I see no objection to the

the restoration as Inam of the village as it was before. If you agree with this view, I request you to be so good as to recommend to Govern ment the regrant of the village as an Inam to the temple on the old conditions and the lease of it, to Archak Gundappa and his son, Kumaraswamaiah for a period of ten years to begin with, on condition of their paying up in full, the old arrears of jodi and performing the pooja regularly. But in consideration of the heavy amount, which the archak is now called upon to pay and in view of the fact that it would take the archak some years to develop the resources of the village so as to make it sufficiently remunerative, it is necessary that the archak should have the security of retaining the village in his possession, for a fairly long term so as to enable him to put forth his best efforts to improve the village. For the same reason, I would suggest the desirability of continuing the cash grant of Rs.26/- (now being granted to the temple in lieu of the land inam), for a definite period - say three years, after the village is restored to the archak."

---

Copy.

for Muzrai Commissioner.

D.N

GEN. DEV SECTT.
MUZAFFARPUR

Dtd. 566-23

14.11.23

Subject - Komaraswamy Deekshit, officiating priest or pujari of Chandramouleswara temple in Nuraga Malla village, Chintamani Tk. requests hereditary Nagarla mitta village a part village of the above named temple which has been made part. management for maintenance of services may be restored to the temple and the area, Opp. 525. Copy be forwarded may be received from him -

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ಎಲ್ಲೂ/13/ಸಂಪರ್ಕ ಅಶ್ವ ಶಿ/126ನೇ ಗ್ರಾಮ

ಸಂಪರ್ಕ ಅಶ್ವ ಶಿ

Muz 4]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

*Diary No. of letter replied to* _____

Muzrai Secretariat

( )

*, the* *192* .

Section Muz.

File No. Dis - 19.

Serial No. 15

No. 735

one file

Date of issue 24-11-23

To

The Muz Cmr E

Signed by Mr T. Ramaiya

Sir,

Adverting to correspondence ending with your letter no. C. 214 Dy 1 11/23 reporting in the matter of the restoration of the Jodi Village of Nagamalle to Sri Chandra Mauleswara Swami temple at Nungamale village

over.

The main Village in
question was resumed by
Govt. about 23 years ago,
and since then, some of the
lands have come under
private occupancy. The
restoration of the Village
as main to the temple
would result in subjecting
the present occupants
of the lands in the Village
to the over Lordship of
the archaka which is not
desirable, particularly as
it is likely to meet with
opposition from the
occupants. // I am further // List of enclosures.
to state that, it does
not appear that the restoration
of the main Village
to the temple will
materially benefit the
institution, as after
deducting the Quit-rent
and the potgi from the
present jamabandy of

*Copied by*

*Examined by*

WD 0563—GPF—2,500—27-7 22

G. R. S. G/33]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

*(Continuation sheet draft form.)*

The Village, the balance left for the temple will be only about Rs 19 against which the addl tasdik of Rs 26/- sanctd in consideration of the resumption of the inm hill have to be withdrn So that in the end, the temple will not stand to gain anything, but will on the other hand, be a loser by this arrangement. In these circumstances, I am to state that Govt agree with you that the Village cannot be restored as inam as proposed by the Dy. Comr.

The question of granting the available unoccupied land to the temple either on full assessment or on favorable ter

WL 2230--GPB--1 00,000--23 7 20

PEE

In the Court of the Commissioners of His Highness the Maharajah Inspection

Review Petn No 9 22/24

Govt Order No 735 dated 24-11-23

Mugam 660-19-14

Per Komaraswami Dupl

for Arulappa Archak Chandrasekharaswami Temple, Murugamale, Chintamani Tq.

Dno 700-15

Mug. 660-19-15

The Petr above named begs to state as follows:-----

That the Inamdar of village of Nagalamitta Murugamale Hobli Chintamani Tq is an Inam granted to Chandrasekharaswami Temple in Murugamale in the enjoyment of Petrs ancestors from time immemorial

That the Inamdar fell into arrears to the extent of ₹505- in the year 1886, when the Govt took over the management of the village which is continuing upto date & a small allowance of ₹36 per annum is made to the Archak.

That as the Cash income is usually only ₹117-8-0 only and the Govt share is ₹82-1-11 which together with the present allowance would almost entirely cover the Income of the village, there is absolutely no chance for the arrears of Govt to be cleared

4. That under the present arrangement, neither the Temple nor the Govt derive any profit and the Govt management would have to be, from the nature of the case, everlasting.

5- That during the time the village has remained under Govt management, two by VNs 46 & 47 have been permanently leased to reputs of Govt which the rest of the lands are given under kanyam tenure, and the former tenants are now willing to give up their right of permanent tenancy in case the village is made over to the Petr (Archak) for enjoyment and management

6 That Petr is ready and willing to pay up the long standing arrears which have now accumulated to ₹500 to Govt in eight annual instalments whereby the Govt arrears would have the chance of being cleared, while the Archak would have

the chance of devoting endeavours to improve the income from the village and benefit the Temple by-Services.

7. That the Asst Comr Affairs the Deputy Comr has recommended the above proposal as beneficial to all parties and the villagers have also consented to the arrangement in case the Govt: should be agreable to the same.

8. That Petr therefore prays that Govt may be pleased to reconsider the matter in all its bights and grant the request of Petr which accords with justice & equity.

ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡಂತೆ ವಿನಂತಿಸಿದೆ.

Bangalore
9.12.23

Muz 4]

SUBJECT .....

Diary No. of letter replied to _____

Section Muz.
File No. 660-19.
Serial No. 16.

Muzrai Secretariat
( )
, the 192 .

No. 938

Date of issue 12.1.24

Signed by M T Ramaiya

To

Endorsement granted
to Kumara Swami Dikshitu,
Archak of Sri Chandra
Mouleswara Swami temple
at Murugamale in the
Chintamani Taluk vide
Ref. 4 his review-application
no 700 Dt 9th Dec 1923
praying that a re-commend-

over.

Dy

of the Govt order, no. 735. Dy 24-11-1923
and the restoration of
the Jodi village of
Nagamalai to be
restored to the Guild in the

In reply, the Brahmin
is informed that there
are no grounds
for a re-consideration
of the said order,
and that he should
no more urge the
question. His review application
is accordingly rejected.

List of enclosures

B.O
3.1.24.
Secretary
B/24/11/24. Rev. Dept.

Copied by

Examined by

copy to Mus. Comr.
for info

WD 0563—GPB—2,500—27 7 22

B.O
3.1.24.
Rev. Secretary.
B/2011/24